



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,514	02/12/2004	Donald J. Curry	117544	3670
27074	7590	08/27/2007		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			EXAMINER SHIKHMAN, MAX	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 08/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com  
jarmstrong@oliff.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/776,514

Applicant(s)

CURRY ET AL.

Examiner

Max Shikhman

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/24/2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/12/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

***Response to Amendment***

1. Applicants' response to the last Office Action, filed 05/24/2007 has been entered and made of record.
2. Applicants' amendment has required new grounds of rejection. New grounds of rejection are therefore presented in the Office Action.
3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fazzari (US-PAT-NO: 5887073) in view of Queiroz, "Mixed raster content (MRC) model for compound image compression" Proc. SPIE 3653, 1106 (1998).

***() Regarding Claims 1,8,13,17:***

(Note: if no name mentioned, please assume Fazzari reference.)

For Claim 13. *A storage medium (27) storing a set of program instructions executable on a data processing device (27), the set of program instructions (software) comprising:*

Art Unit: 2624

(Abstract: "control console displays a graphical user interface via a computer on which the control system is implemented and includes a software application pack specifically configured for processing a particular type of food.")

Column 4, lines 45-55, "A personal computer 27 implements a control processor 26 and memory-based software...")

*An apparatus, comprising:*

*a blob (colors, properties, features, objects.) identifier (Fig3: 54. Col5 lines 15-20)*

*that identifies one or more blobs (Col6 line 2: blobs) in image data;*

*(Figure 5; 96, 98 and 100 were identified as blobs of 91.*

Column 5, line 37, "FIG. 4, an attribute can be defined as a collection of points in red-green-blue space (RGB) space, which can be considered the same color for the sorting

application." Column 10, lines 18-21, "70 first identifies as objects all spatially contiguous groups of pixels, i.e. blobs, in each binary attribute plane and each binary property".) *a blob mapper (64) that assigns a color index (attribute) to each of one or more blobs based on a color property (Fig4: 92,93,94) of each of the blobs; and*

*(Column 5, lines 55-56, "color classifier 64 shown in both of FIGS. 3 and 5 will classify each 24-bit RGB pixel from color image 91 into exactly one of these attributes.")*

*a blob clusterer (Fig5. 64) that assigns the blobs to one or more binary foreground planes (88,90) and a grayscale background plane (89) based on the color index of each of the blobs; and*

Art Unit: 2624

(Figure 5; 96, 98 and 100 were identified as blobs of 91. They were assigned to planes 88, 89, 90 because they correspond to color clouds 92-94 in Figure 4. 96 belongs to color cloud 92; see Column 5, line 44 and Column 8, lines 35-45. The color classifier is a blob clusterer,

Column 5, line 58, "The color classifier does this by creating a binary image, or "attribute image," for each attribute from the 24-bit color image 91 that the color classifier processes.")

Fazzari disclose everything as described above except, *data of the one or more assigned binary foreground planes and the grayscale background plane are compressed by applying separate compression algorithms to each binary foreground plane data and grayscale background plane data.*

Queiroz discloses, *data of the one or more assigned binary foreground planes and the grayscale background plane are compressed (Title,MRC) by applying separate compression algorithms (JPEG, JBIG) to each*

*binary foreground plane data and* (Page 4 Paragraph 2 "In both cases...", "text objects and edges are placed in the binary mask layer, thus, being efficiently encoded using standard binary coders such as MMR, JBIG")

*grayscale background plane data.* (Page 4 Paragraph 2, JPEG)

It is efficient to compress a binary image using JBIG and a continuous tone image, or image with "large uniform patches", with JPEG. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to use Queiroz's MRC method in Fazzari; encode binary image with JBIG and a continuous image with JPEG.

**(.) Regarding Claims 2,9,14,18:**

(Queiroz reference.)

*The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the blob (Queiroz. Fig4: graphics, text) clusterer*

(Page4: regions containing text and graphics are identified and represented in a separate plane.)

*separates blobs (graphics, text) having a same color index (Fig4: Bird has the same color index) into one or more proximity groups (FG, M, BG planes) based on a predetermined blob separation distance (Fig4: Bird's feet, stomach, wings, have the same separation distance in the original and BG plane.), and*

*then assigns each of the proximity groups (objects) to a separate foreground plane,*

(Fig4 shows separate planes containing separate objects.)

*a size (dimension) of each of the foreground planes (Fig4) being set based on spatial characteristics of pixels of blobs assigned to each of the foreground planes.*

(Page 3 paragraph 3: Layers may contain different dimensions and have offsets associated with them. If a plane contains only a small object, the effective plane can be made of a bounding box around the object.)

**(.) Regarding Claims 10:**

(Queiroz reference.)

*The method of claim 8, further comprising: setting a size (dimension) of each of the foreground planes based on spatial characteristics of pixels of blobs assigned to each of the foreground planes (Fig4).*

(Page 3 paragraph 3: Layers may contain different dimensions and have offsets associated with them. If a plane contains only a small object, the effective plane can be made of a bounding box around the object.)

**() Regarding Claims 3,11,16,20:**

*The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the blob clusterer assigns to a background plane one or more portions of the image data that are not assigned into any foreground plane.*

(89 in Figure 5 is the "Background Attribute Image." It contains unassigned data.)

**() Regarding Claims 4,12,15,19:**

*The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the blob mapper assigns an identical color index (attribute) to each of the one or more blobs, if a difference between color properties of the blobs is within a predetermined tolerance (Fig4: 92,93,94 is the tolerance).*

(Column 5, lines 52-56, "each attribute will have a corresponding color cloud in RGB-space. Once all attributes have been defined for a given application and corresponding color clouds have been created, the color classifier 64 shown in both of FIGS. 3 and 5 will classify each 24-bit RGB pixel from color image 91 into exactly one of these attributes.")

**() Regarding Claim 5:**

*A xerographic marking device incorporating the apparatus of claim 1.*

(Column 7, lines 15-19 "Alternatively, any optical imaging device can be substituted for the camera, including a grey-scale video camera, a photocell device, a laser scanner, an ultraviolet camera, an infrared camera, a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) device, or a spectroscopic scanner.")

**() Regarding Claim 6:**

*A marking device incorporating the apparatus of claim 1.*

(49 in Figure 2 is a pen, which is a marking device, incorporating the overall apparatus. Column 7, lines 15-19 "Alternatively, any optical imaging device can be substituted for the camera, including a grey-scale video camera, a photocell device, a laser scanner, an ultraviolet camera, an infrared camera, a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) device, or a spectroscopic scanner.")

**() Regarding Claim 7:**

*A digital photocopier incorporating the apparatus of claim 1.*

(Column 7, lines 15-19 "Alternatively, any optical imaging device can be substituted for the camera, including a grey-scale video camera, a photocell device, a laser scanner, an ultraviolet camera, an infrared camera, a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) device, or a spectroscopic scanner.")

6. **Claim 21** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fazzari (US-PAT-NO: 5887073) in view of Queiroz, "Mixed raster content (MRC) model for



Art Unit: 2624

compound image compression" Proc. SPIE 3653, 1106 (1998) and further in view of Majid Rabbani, "An overview of the JPEG 2000 still image compression standard", Signal Processing: Image Communication, Volume 17, Issue 1, January 2002, Pages 3-48.

**() Regarding Claim 21:**

Fazzari and Queiroz disclose everything as described above except, *creating a color tree from the assigned blobs*.

Rabbani, who deals with JPEG 2000, discloses on page 23 Fig 15, "Example of compressed data associated with various sub-bitplane coding passes"; on Page 27 Col 2, "Component-position-resolution-layer progression (CPRL)". The *color tree* is the bitplanes from all the codeblocks, arranged from MSB to LSB, arranged by color component.

Since Queiroz discloses on page 4 paragraph 2, "background plane is suitable for continuous tone image compressors such as JPEG or JPEG-2000", it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rabbani in the method of Queiroz, both are discussing JPEG 2000; partition data into codeblocks, where each codeblock is arranged from most significant coefficients to least significant coefficients and arrange data in progression by component. This creates a color tree.

**Conclusion**

7. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DeLeeuw discloses, "Placing and monitoring transparent user interface elements in a live video stream as a method for user input."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max Shikhman whose telephone number is (571) 270-1669; FAX (571) 270-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JINGGE WU can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Max Shikhman  
7/30/2007

  
JINGGE WU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER